Topics of Discussion:

* The Commission now has thousands of pages of comments from the industry on a wide-range of topics for reforming the transmission grid in the ANOPR process. If you were appointed regulator for the day, where would you start with reform? **(Amanda)**
* One stumbling block for building new and needed transmission is determining who benefits and how we measure benefits. Transmission may be needed in one state but the benefits may be recognized in another. An example would be a project that is built in one state that is necessary to interconnect needed generation in another to accomplish that state’s policy goals or RPS standards. How should our regulators address this issue and what should they consider as they do? (**Christy**).
* The ANOPR process has focused us on FERC and the reforms that the Commission is considering but states also play an important role in this process and can create their own regulatory hurdles to the development of the grid.
  + Where are areas that the Commission and states can work together to address some of these hurdles (**Liz**)?
  + What reforms should the states be focused on to help address some of these hurdles (**Ben**)?
  + Are the states sufficiently involved in the planning process and can consideration of state interests in the planning process result in more transmission being built (**Amanda**)?
* FERC has focused on technology in recent land mark Order No. 841 and 2222. These orders have focused on integration of energy storage and distributed energy into the markets. What should be next? (**Christy**)
* Markets are critical to the success of the system and any regulatory reforms cannot forget about the markets. What reforms should we be focusing on to open up the markets for more renewable and technology penetration (**Ben**)?